

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,306 12/31/2001		12/31/2001	Simon M. Furnish	InFraReDx-12	4514	
26161	7590	04/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				JUNG, WI	JUNG, WILLIAM C	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3768	-	
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•					
			0					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/037,306	FURNISH, SIMON	M.					
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	William Jung	3737						
•	ars on the cover sheet with the o	•	ress					
or No and allin	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of Ilowing replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following illing date of the final rejection. is Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
OI	re later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN P 706.07(f).							
ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee f extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee he shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as ater than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, 4(b).								
ompliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since illed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
on, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); selow);								
better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
ја a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
,,	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
٠,	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
	ut before or on the date of filing a North date of the affidate of the affidat							
ina	a Nation of Annual but prior to the	data of filing a brief	will not bo					

## Advisory Action

Advisory Action	10/037,306	FURNISH, SIMON M.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	William Jung	3737						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  a)   The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	iod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In t, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  er Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1 136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•		ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
	appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 h - f	-4: <b>4</b>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provid showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
3.								

WZ

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: After further consideration of the applicant's response, examiner respectfully disagrees. The rotatiing blade in figure 21b of Boppart et al is clearly seen as two separate refelctive surface as disclosed in applicant's claimed invention.

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700